AGENTs USED FOR ENAMEL REMINERALISATION AND REDUCING DENTIN HYPERSENSITIVITY: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW
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Remineralisation agents not only strengthen enamel resistance, but also can occlude dentine tubules, reducing teeth hypersensitivity. This article provides an overview of various available agents used for enamel remineralisation and dentin hypersensitivity treatment. Conventional fluorides are used in the form of solutions, varnishes, foam, gels, dentifrices, showing low remineralising and desensitising ability as compared to newer fluoride-content products. Deep fluoridation is an effective method for reducing dentin hypersensitivity for a long time; its remineralising potential is used for white spot lesions treatment, caries prevention, and fissure sealing. Most novel fluoride compounds showed higher remineralising and desensitising abilities as compared to conventional fluorides. Deep penetration fluoridation is an effective method for remineralisation and reducing dentin hypersensitivity in long time. Despite of the fact that calcium phosphate systems have demonstrated a benefit over conventional fluorides in remineralisation their desensitising ability was not confirmed by various studies. The use of bioactive glass in remineralisation and desensitisation is quite promising, but further researches need to be undertaken to prove its efficacy. «Curodont» and synthetic nanohydroxyapatite from biomimetic systems showed good results in the above-mentioned treatments, but more researches are required to confirm their clinical efficacy. There is no doubt that iontophoresis with calcium and fluoride substances is still the most effective method in the office remineralisation, however, its potential effect in desensitisation has to be better evaluated. Efficacy of different kinds of lasers alone or in combination with a remineralisation agent has been confirmed mostly in vitro studies, therefore, there is a lack of clinical trials to prove their benefit. In latter studies, dentin sealants and desensitisers occluded dentine tubules were superior to conventional fluorides and synthetic nanohydroxyapatites. Simultaneously, the data about calcium phosphate and deep penetration fluoridation efficacy over such desensitisers as dentin sealants and nerve sensitivity inhibitors are controversial and indicate that additional studies are required to deepen our knowledge of the insufficiently explored area of reducing dentin hypsensitivity. In spite of the new advances, the ideal treatment modality for remineralisation does not exist. Within last decades, dentistry has made significant steps towards the elusive goal of enamel remineralisation and dentin desensitisation, but more long-term clinical controlled studies are needed to confirm and quantify these findings, as well as to identify additional factors that can potentiate these processes.
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Introduction

Dental caries is a result of imbalance between remineralisation and demineralisation. Enamel remineralisation is described as the process of precipitation of calcium and phosphate ions onto the tooth [1]. Fluoride ions are also incorporated into fluor-hydroxyapatite, strengthening the enamel structure, and assist in the enamel remineralisation with phosphate ions dissolved in saliva [2].

Previous reviews have analysed contemporary developments in the remineralisation agents [3-6]. Remineralisation strategies have focused on creating the remineralising scaffolds within the lesions or adding calcium, phosphate, stannous, and arginine to fluoride [5]. Such agents can occlude dentine tubules reducing sensitivity by preventing a dentinal fluid flow. Iontophoresis and lasers potentiate remineralising and desensitising effects of fluoride, calcium and phosphate substances [7, 8]. Unfortunately, there are no studies that review the agents used for enamel remineralisation and dentin hypersensitivity treatment. The aim of the research was to review the various available agents used for enamel remineralisation and dentin hypersensitivity treatment.

Results and their discussion.

Fluoride is the most utilized remineralisation agent. In 2010, fluoride was the most common treatment modality and the only treatment for dentin hypersensitivity used frequently by more than 50 percent of American dentists [9]. The distant salts of fluorine used for interventions are: sodium fluoride, sodium monofluorophosphate, stannous fluoride, and acidulated phosphate fluoride.

Conventional fluorides

Duraphat® (5000 ppm toothpaste (Colgate, USA) with sodium fluoride was effective in caries prevention [10]. Pinto et al. [11] examined the influence of this high fluoride toothpaste and arginine-carbonate toothpaste applications on dentinal tubules exposure followed by an acid challenge. Although no significant differences have been shown between toothpastes, they enhanced resistance of the dentine surface, preventing dentinal tubules exposure after the acid challenge.

The 8% Arginine-CaCO3 group exhibited statistically significant reduction in the dentin hypersensitivity to day 28 than 1.23% NaF-gel [12]. Arginine is metabolized by arginolytic bacteria which produce bases increased the pH in the oral biofilm [13]. However, recent review found insufficient evidence to support a caries-preventive effect for the inclusion of arginine in toothpastes [14].

Systematic review of Marinho et al. [15] revealed that reduction of DMF index in children with fluoride varnish prevention was 43%, dmft – 37%, respectively. Unfortunately, fluoride varnishes and gels showed low desensitising ability as compared to other fluoride-content products [16, 17].

Silver diamine fluoride (SDF) - Saforide (Neo, Japan) decreased level of hypersensitivity, but it is difficult to compare the obtained results with data of other studies [18]. Chu et al. [19] found that annual application of SDF was more effective in arresting dentin caries than application of fluoride varnish every 3 months.

Deep-penetration fluoridation

Deep-penetration fluoridation was invented by A. Knappwost, who offered Tiefenfluorid® (Humanchemie, Germany), which consists of two solutions. When applied, the second solution reacts with the first one into the enamel pores or the dentinal tubules occluding them by the crystals of calcium fluoride or magnesium fluoride. A high concentration of fluoride ions provided remineralisation in the combination to calcium, phosphate, fluoride crystals remained into the pores till 2 years [20]. According to Meto et al. [21] 100% of the second degree dentin hypersensitivity cases were stabilized and successful with Tiefenfluorid® for a long time. Glutfore® (Vladmiva, Russia) and Ftorcalcit® (Lotus, Ukraine) have same content.

Ivanitskiy [16] claimed that after 1 year positive results of desensitising were found in all patients who were treated with oral administration Calcium D3 Nycomed (Takeda AS, Norway) and topical application Glutfore®, whereas Desensyl®-Vladmiva (Russia), which consist of hydroxyapatite, strontium and potassium chlorides, reduced hypersensitivity in 88.4% patients, fluoride varnish Belak®® (Vladmiva, Russia) – in 68.2%, respectively [16]. Yarova et al. [17] used Belagal® Ca/P (Vladmiva, Russia) topically for dentin desensitising in the frontal teeth and Ftorcalcit® - in the lateral teeth; intensity of dentin hypersensitivity decreased from 34.7 to 9.67% at 1 year after the initial treatment. Also deep fluoridation was used for white spot lesions treatment [22], caries prevention [3], and fissure sealing [23].

Calcium phosphate systems

Presently, the need to enhance the remineralising efficacy of fluoride is largely met by calcium phosphate systems. Desensitisers included calcium phosphate associates with water and occlude the dentinal tubules by the hydroxyapatite crystals. Amorphous calcium phosphate provides a higher degree of this occlusion and facilitates deposition of the hydroxyapatite on enamel [24]. The research by Guglu et al. [25] is only one of various randomized controlled studies that have demonstrated significantly better remineralising effect of CPP-ACP (Ca-sein Phosphopeptide-Amorphous Calcium Phosphate) paste compared to a fluoride-containing varnish. According to Rosaih and Aruna [26] CPP-ACP was more effective in reducing dentin hypersensitivity than crystalline calcium phosphate at 6 months after the initial treatment. Peshevar et al. [27] found no significant statistical difference in reduced desensitising in the fluoride varnish and CPP-ACP paste groups at 2 months after the initial treatment. CPP-ACP was significantly better in reducing dentin hypersensitivity compared to propolis and sterile water at 3 weeks after the initial treatment (P<0.01) [28].

Remineralisation effect of CPP-ACP had to be improved in CPP-ACFP (with fluoride). However, Llena et al. [29] did not find significant differences between CPP-ACFP, CPP-ACP and the fluoride varnish in 3-months study. Furthermore, in 3-months research by Madhavan et al. [30] CPP-ACFP was less efficient in comparison to fluoride varnish and propolis. Controversial results of CPP-ACP and CPP-ACFP in dentin hypersensitivity reducing may be explained by the absence of the standard procedure to test desensitisers and the short time of studies.

Freire et al. [31] showed that a 500-ppm low-fluoride dentifrice supplemented with sodium trimetaphosphate (STMP) was significantly superior to a 1100-ppm fluoride dentifrice in lowering the caries increment. Favretto et al. [32] reported that the addition of STMP to fluoride toothpastes produced greater obliteration of the dentinal tubules when compared with 1100-ppm fluoride dentifrice. In vitro Teethmate® desensitizer (Kuraray Noritake Dental, Japan) containing tetra- and dicalcium phosphates applied for 3 times consistently reduced dentin hypersensitivity. However, in vivo trials revealed different results. The sodium fluoride pastes containing fluoride were more efficient in reducing dentin hypersensitivity compared to the placebo pastes. However, the sodium fluoride pastes containing 500 ppm fluoride were more effective in reducing dentin hypersensitivity compared to the placebo pastes.
tin permeability by 50% after 24 h, whereas fluoride varnish did not achieve such a result [33]. There is a need for additional clinical studies to ascertain whether polyphosphates can influence remineralisation and desensitisation.

**Bioactive glass**

Calcium sodium phosphosilicate (CSPS) is a bioactive glass material that initially was incorporated in toothpastes for dentin hypersensitivity. Recent meta-analysis demonstrated that toothpaste containing 5% CSPS - NovaMin® (GSK, Great Britain) was more effective than the negative control at relieving dentin sensitivity [34]. There are no clinical data from randomised controlled studies to prove its remineralising efficacy, however, in vitro NovaMin® dentifrice appears to have a greater effect on remineralisation of the carious-like lesions when compared to that of the fluoride containing dentifrice in permanent teeth [35].

Fluoride-containing aluminoalcumulsicilate nanoparticles glass dispersed aqueous solution – NanoSeal (NAN, Nishin, Japan) is used as a desensitiser. In vitro the tubule occlusion rates of SoroFide were significantly lower than those of Nanoseal and Teethmate® desensitiser (P<0.05) [36].

**Biomimetic systems**

Other promising direction in remineralisation is development of biomimetic systems which help to regenerate hard dental tissues. The first group of them, which does not have commercial products and never has been used in vivo, includes Dentine Phosphoprotein-Derived 8DSS Peptides, amelogenin peptides, Poly (Amido Amine) Dendrimers. These products exhibited a strong tendency to self-assemble into the hierarchical enamel crystal structures [4]. The second group included P11-4 peptides, electrically accelerated and enhanced remineralisation, and nanohydroxyapatite which have used in patients.

P11-4 peptides are represented by self-assembling peptide matrix Curodont Repair® (Curodont, Great Britain) which was rationally designed to promote formation of hydroxyapatite. It has shown promising results as a remineralisation agent in the initial caries regression as compared to fluoride varnish Duraphat® [37]. Schlee et al. [38] showed that desensitiser Curodont D’Senze® gel (Curodont, Great Britain), which was applied within the first week, as compared to 8% arginine and calcium carbonate toothpaste used throughout the whole 90 day study, resulted in much higher patient satisfaction in the dentin hypersensitivity treatment at day 7 and the higher number of pain-free patients at day 7 and day 90.

Synthetic nanohydroxyapatite is another bioactive material, whose nano-sized particles can strongly bind to enamel surface and with fragments of plaque and bacteria, acts as a filler to repair small holes and depressions of enamel surface [39]. Nanohydroxyapatite gel had a significant potential for enamel remineralisation around restoration margins [40], but there were no well-designed studies that confirm its high efficacy in comparison to fluoride toothpastes. Synthetic nanohydroxyapatites were effective in the reducing dentin hypersensitivity, but only a few researches dealt with this problem [41, 42].

Despite the fact that iontophoresis has been widely used for enamel remineralisation and dentin hypersensitivity treatment in the former Soviet Union countries for 50 years, recently this technique has been introduced as a novel method of tooth remineralisation - electrically accelerated and enhanced remineralisation (EAER) [8]. A lot of studies have confirmed efficacy of iontophoresis with calcium gluconate and sodium fluoride in the prevention and the treatment of caries and non-carious lesions [43]. In the study by Patil et al. [44] iontophoresis with 0.33% sodium fluoride gel closed 50% open dentinal tubules. Therefore, more controlled studies with comparable outcomes are still necessary before obtaining a definitive answer to the question may iontophoresis enhance treatment outcomes of dentin hypersensitivity treatment.

**Lasers**

Laser irradiation could increase efficacy of desensitising and remineralising agents. Low-energy Er:YAG laser irradiation coupled with fluoride treatment may inhibit enamel demineralization through the photothermal purification of enamel hydroxyapatite, the inhibition of enamel diffusion increased fluoride deposition on the enamel surface, and the formation of fluoridated hydroxyapatite [45]. Moreover, reducing dentin hypersensitivity could be explained by coagulation of proteins of the dentin fluid and discharging of the internal tubular nerve [46].

According to the study by George et al. [47], the diode laser was more efficient in the decrease of teeth hypersensitivity to fluoride toothpaste at day 30. Umberto et al. [48] demonstrated that the diode laser showed a very high capability to improve immediately the dentin hypersensitivity, both alone and better in combination with 1.25% NaF gel. In vitro study by Ahnari et al. [49] found that the application of diode laser through sodium fluoride or CPP-ACP gel did not produce any additional effects in enhancing remineralization of white spot lesions, whereas the combined application of diode laser with Remin Pro® (Voco, USA), which is a dentifrice with hydroxyapatite, fluoride and xylitol, was effective.

In vitro study of Asl-Aminabali et al. [50] Nd:YAG laser irradiation with CPP-ACP cream significantly increased mineralisation of artificial white spots for 30 days. In vitro Nd:YAG laser irradiation alone and combined with NovaMin® proved superior to NovaMin® alone on the dentinal tubules occlusion [51].

In vitro studies that support Er:YAG laser superior resistance to demineralisation of dental enamel are controversial [45, 52] and can be explained by the absence of standard procedure for evaluation. Yu et al. [53] reported significantly reduced dentin hypersensitivity 4 weeks after the Er:YAG laser desensitization treatment. Further studies are required to evaluate the long-term stability of the obtained positive results and efficacy of this treatment modality.

**Efficacy of desensitisers with remineralising effect in comparison to other desensitisers**

A lot of researches were dealt with comparison of efficacy of different desensitisers. To enhance the natural repair process by calcium and phosphate from saliva and plaque fluid fluoride is included in desensitisers from other groups. In research by Tumanova et al. [54], the intensity of dentin hypersensitivity at 1 year after the initial treatment was lower with one-time application of dentin sealant Admira® Protect (Voco Gmbh, Germany) in comparison to potassium oxalate based desensitiser Super Seal® (Phoenix dental, USA), and 1-month course of fluoride varnish Bifluorid 12® (Voco Gmbh, Germany) or tooth paste with NovaMin Sensodyne® F. Other sealant with fluoride MS Coat F (Sun Medical, Japan) showed higher effect than sealant without fluoride MS Coat One (Sun Medical, Japan) and same effect with dentifrice...
Fluor Jelly (6000 ppF) after acid challenge on extracted teeth [55].

Synthetic hydroxyapatites did not show superiority to desensitisers occluded dentin tubules. Neo Active Apatite powder (Ghimas, Italy) was inferior to desensitisier with glutaraldehyde and potassium fluoride Vivasens® (Iovolar Vivadent, Liechtenstein) [41]. Unfortunately, this in vitro research did not evaluate resistance of desensitisers to the oral environment. Orsini et al. [42] evaluated three dentifices: the arginine-based, the strontium acetate-based and the containing zinc-carbonate hydroxyapatite nanocrystals; no significant differences in reducing hypersensitivity were observed in a subset of patients from different groups that were followed up to 2 months.

Mosleh et al. [56] claimed that Curodont D’senz®, Propolis extract, and ammonium hexafluorosilicate gel demonstrated effectiveness in alleviating the hypersensitivity symptoms, with propolis extract paste being the most effective within 1 to 2 weeks and sustained up to 3 months.

Acidulated phosphate gel iontophoresis was more effective in reducing dentin hypersensitivity than Adper® Scotchbond® Multi-Purpose Adhesive (3M ESPE, USA) at the end of 2 weeks [57]. According to Konekeri et al. CPP-ACP [58] was significantly more effective than potassium nitrate (nerve sensitivity inhibitor) in reducing cervical dentinal hypersensitivity at 6 weeks’ duration. However, Walsh [59] did not find any differences between these agents at such duration and explained these finding by different baseline scores of dentin hypersensitivity.

In vitro study by Yilmaz et al. [60] evaluated the quantitative changes in dentin permeability after desensitiser and following post-treatments. Potassium oxalate desensitiser D/Sense® Crystal (Centrix, USA) was superior to fluoride varnish Biflurid 12® against artificial saliva post-treatment. In this study, authors did not detect a remineralisation effect of the Nupro Sensodyne Prophylaxis Paste with Novamin® following artificial saliva post-treatment for 24 hours. Citric and artificial saliva post-treatments caused some reducing in the efficacy of all studied desensitisers including Gluma® Self Etch bond (Kulzer GmbH, Germany) by partly removing the deposits formed on/within the tubules and caused a reincrease in permeability.

In the research by Budzinsky et al. [61] Gluma® Desensitizer reduced dentin hypersensitivity in almost all patients 12 months after the treatment in comparison to iontophoresis with 2% sodium fluoride and deep fluoridation. Contrary to the results of this study, Petrushanko et al. [62] claimed that Glutfored® was superior to four HEMA-containing desensitisers: Acua Prep™ F (Bisco, USA), Gluma® Detensitizer (Kulzer GmbH, Germany), Seal and Protect™ (Dentsply, USA), and Butler protect (Butler John O Co), immediately after application; only 10% patients have dentin hypersensitivity 1 month after Glutfored® using. These results may be explained by different baseline scores of dentin hypersensitivity and a small number of patients.

Conclusions

Most novel fluoride compounds showed higher remineralising and desensitisising abilities as compared to conventional fluorides. Deep penetration fluoridation is an effective method for remineralisation and reducing dentin hypersensitivity in long time. Despite the fact that calcium phosphate systems have demonstrated a benefit over conventional fluorides in remineralisation their desensitising ability was not confirmed by various studies. The use of bioactive glass in remineralisation and desensitisation is quite promising, but further researches need to be undertaken to prove its efficacy. «Curodont» and synthetic nanohydroxyapatite from biomimetic systems showed good results in the above-mentioned treatments, but more researches are required to confirm their clinical efficacy. There is no doubt that iontophoresis with calcium and fluoride substances is still the most effective method in the office remineralisation, however, its potential effect in desensitisation has to be better evaluated.

Efficacy of different kinds of lasers alone or in combination with a remineralisation agent has been confirmed mostly in vitro studies, therefore, there is a lack of clinical trials to prove their benefit.

In latter studies dentin sealants and desensitisers occluded dentin tubules were superior to conventional fluorides and synthetic nanohydroxyapatites. Simultaneously, the data about calcium phosphate and deep penetration fluoridation efficacy over such desensitisers as dentin sealants and nerve sensitivity inhibitors are controversial and indicate that additional studies are required to deepen our knowledge of the insufficiently explored area of reducing dentin hypersensitivity.

In spite of the new advances, the ideal treatment modality for remineralisation does not exist. Within last decades, dentistry has made significant steps towards the elusive goal of enamel remineralisation and dentin desensitisation, but more long-term clinical controlled studies are needed to confirm and quantify these findings, as well as to identify additional factors that can potentiate these processes.
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